

## REMARKS

Claims 1-35 are pending in the application. Claims 1-35 stand rejected. Claims 1, 4-8, 10, 14, 18, 21-25, 27, 31, and 35 are amended herein. The Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the following remarks and allowance of the claims.

Claims 1-6, 9-23, and 26-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,091,953 (Ho) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,870,459 (Phillips). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection in light of the following discussion.

Independent claim 1 now recites:

A communication system for providing temporary wireless telephone numbers, the system comprising:

a first switching system configured to automatically receive a registration request from a wireless call device without an assigned telephone number when the wireless call device is powered on, and process the registration request to generate a registration message; and

a service control point configured to receive the registration message, process the registration message to determine if the wireless call device is subscribed to a temporary wireless number service, and responsive to determining that the wireless call device is subscribed to the temporary wireless number service, process the registration message to automatically assign a temporary public switched telephone network (PSTN) number to the wireless call device and generate and provide a registration response message to the first switching system that includes the temporary PSTN number.

Ho and Phillips, separately and in combination, do not teach all the limitations of claim 1. Specifically, claim 1 recites assigning a temporary public switched telephone network (PSTN) number to a wireless call device. Both Ho and Phillips fail to teach assigning a temporary PSTN number to a wireless call device.

Phillips discloses a telephone that receives a directory number during activation upon acquisition (sale, lease, or give-away) (Phillips, col. 13, lines 15-19 and 65-67). This directory number is used to make and receive calls for a predetermined period of time (Phillips, col. 14, lines 11-36). When the directory number expires, the telephone can only be used to make calls *until it is returned for refurbishment* (Phillips, col. 13, lines 19-33; col. 14, lines 19-36). Thus, only one directory number is assigned per acquisition, and a user must return the telephone and acquire another one in order for another directory number to be assigned. Effectively, the

directory number assigned during activation in Phillips is *permanent for the life of the telephone*, not temporary as required by claim 1. The telephone only receives a new directory number upon refurbishment and a new acquisition.

Ho discloses the assignment of a Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI) to a mobile unit. The TMSI in Ho is not a temporary PSTN number as required by claim 1. Rather, the TMSI in Ho is a temporary identification number (Ho, col. 6, lines 27-30). The TMSI is a randomly allocated number that is assigned to a mobile unit to identify the mobile unit while it is within a specific geographic location. The TMSI of the mobile unit can be changed by the network at anytime and is updated each time the mobile unit enters a new geographic area. The TMSI in Ho is not a regular ten-digit telephone number. It cannot be used to call the mobile unit from another telephone.

Further, Ho and Phillips do not disclose a service control point (SCP) configured to determine if the wireless call device is subscribed to a temporary wireless number service. In the Response to Arguments in the Final Office Action, the Examiner asserts that processing a registration message to determine if a wireless call device is subscribed to a temporary wireless number service is obvious (OA, p. 9, first paragraph). The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this assertion because a subscription to a temporary wireless number service is precisely what makes claim 1 non-obvious. The mobile unit in Ho and the recyclable cellular telephone in Phillips are not subscribed to a temporary wireless number service as required by claim 1.

Phillips discloses a temporary (recyclable) telephone, not a permanent phone subscribed to a temporary wireless telephone number service which assigns a temporary wireless telephone number upon request. The telephone in Phillips does not receive a temporary number, but the telephone itself is temporary. In contrast, the wireless call device in claim 1 is assigned a temporary wireless telephone number upon request if it is subscribed to a temporary wireless telephone number service.

The Examiner admits that Ho does not teach a wireless call device originally without an assigned telephone number. However, combining Phillips and Ho by substituting the directory number in Phillips for the TMSI in Ho would render the mobile unit in Ho inoperable. In particular, if the directory number in Phillips was provided to the mobile unit in Ho upon powering up, the mobile unit would only have an inoperable directory number with no way to route messages to and from the mobile unit (Ho, col. 6, lines 25-49; col. 7, lines 57-65; col. 8,

lines 32-57).

Ho and Phillips, separately and in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest all the elements of claim 1. Claim 1 is therefore allowable over Ho in view of Phillips.

Independent claims 18 and 35 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1 and are therefore allowable over the art of record for at least the same reasons as claim 1. The Applicant refrains from a discussion of the dependent claims for the sake of brevity and in view of their dependence from otherwise allowable independent claims.

Claims 7, 8, 24, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Ho and Phillips in view of EP 0986237 (Alho). A discussion of this rejection is obviated in view of the discussion above distinguishing Ho in view of Phillips.

### **CONCLUSION**

The claims in their present form are allowable over the art of record. The Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of the claims.

The Applicant hereby authorizes the Office to charge Deposit Account No. 21-0765 the appropriate fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) for the Request for Continued Examination (37 C.F.R. § 1.114(a)) and the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1) for a one-month extension of time. The Applicant believes no additional fees are due with respect to this filing. However, should the Office determine additional fees are necessary, the Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 21-0765.

Respectfully submitted,

/Shannon L. Silversmith/

**SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER**

Shannon L. Silversmith, Reg. No. 60,938  
Setter Roche LLP  
Telephone: (720) 562-2280

**Correspondence address:**

**CUSTOMER NO. 28004**

Attn: Melissa A. Jobe  
Sprint Law Department  
6450 Sprint Parkway  
Mailstop: KSOPHN0312-3A461  
Overland Park, KS 66251